303 West Kalamazoo Street, Lansing, Michigan 48933 Phone: (517) 483-6108 Fax: (517) 483-6397



LISA McCORMICK Chief Assistant Prosecutor

JOHN J. DEWANE Deputy Chief Assistant Prosecutor

MEMO

TO:

ALL APA'S, SCANNING UNIT, WARRANT UNIT AND DIVERSION

FROM:

Lisa McCormick, Chief Assistant Prosecutor

RE:

Lansing Police Department - Dale Person

DATE:

March 24, 2014

If a case comes in where Lansing Police Department's Dale Person has been identified you must scan in the attached two (2) memos (the Memorandum from Chief Yankowski and the Memo to the Defense Attorney). There are no exceptions. Then the attached memos will be turned over to the defense as part of the regular discovery packets.

<u>APAs ONLY</u>: Please review all your current cases (cases in review through sentencing) to see if Dale Person has been identified. If Person has been you must either contact the Scanning Room with the CTN and they will be responsible for the discovery or you must turn it over yourself immediately.

303 West Kalamazoo Street, Lansing, Michigan 48933 Phone: (517) 483-6108 Fax: (517) 483-6397



LISA McCORMICK Chief Assistant Prosecutor

MEMO

JOHN J. DEWANE
Deputy Chief Assistant Prosecutor

TO:

DEFENSE ATTORNEY

FROM:

Lisa McCormick, Chief Assistant Prosecutor

RE:

Lansing Police Department - Dale Person

DATE:

March 24, 2014

Please be advised that this notice is to inform you that pursuant to <u>Brady v Maryland</u>, 373 US 83 (1963), the Lansing Police Department sustained a complaint regarding truthfulness against Dale Person in October of 2002. Attached please find a Memorandum from Chief Mike Yankowski of the Lansing Police Department dated March 22, 2014 notifying our office of this complaint.



LANSING POLICE DEPARTMENT

120 West Michigan Avenue Lansing, MI 48933 Phone: (517) 483-4600 Fax: (517) 377-0162



Mike Yankowski, Chief

MEMORADUM

To:

Lansing City Attorney and Ingham County Prosecutor's Office

From:

Chief Mike Yankowski

Re:

Brady Violations

Date:

March 22, 2014

The Lansing Police Department has two current officers who have sustained complaints for truthfulness.

The second is Dale Person from a complaint in October of 2002.

Summary: Person used profanity and inappropriate language which was directed at a citizen. During the investigation of courtesy, Person denied ever using profanity which was a proven untruthful statement.

303 West Kalamazoo Street, Lansing, Michigan 48933 Phone: (517) 483-6108 Fax: (517) 483-6397



LISA McCORMICK Chief Assistant Prosecutor

JOHN J. DEWANE
Deputy Chief Assistant Prosecutor

MEMO

TO:

ALL APA'S, SCANNING UNIT, WARRANT UNIT AND DIVERSION

FROM:

Lisa McCormick, Chief Assistant Prosecutor

RE:

Lansing Police Department - Daryl Green

DATE:

March 24, 2014

If a case comes in where Lansing Police Department's Daryl Green has been identified you must scan in the attached two (2) memos (the Memorandum from Chief Yankowski and the Memo to the Defense Attorney). There are no exceptions. Then the attached memos will be turned over to the defense as part of the regular discovery packets.

<u>APAs ONLY</u>: Please review all your current cases (in review through sentencing) to see if Daryl Green has been identified. If Green has been you must either contact the Scanning Room with the CTN and they will be responsible for sending out the discovery or you must do so yourself immediately.

303 West Kalamazoo Street, Lansing, Michigan 48933 Phone: (517) 483-6108 Fax: (517) 483-6397



LISA McCORMICK Chief Assistant Prosecutor

MEMO

JOHN J. DEWANE Deputy Chief Assistant Prosecutor

TO:

DEFENSE ATTORNEY

FROM:

Lisa McCormick, Chief Assistant Prosecutor

RE:

Lansing Police Department - Daryl Green

DATE:

March 24, 2014

Please be advised that this notice is to inform you that pursuant to <u>Brady</u> v <u>Maryland</u>, 373 US 83 (1963), the Lansing Police Department sustained a complaint regarding truthfulness against Daryl Green in June of 2000. Attached please find a Memorandum from Chief Mike Yankowski of the Lansing Police Department dated March 22, 2014 notifying our office of this complaint.



LANSING POLICE DEPARTMENT

120 West Michigan Avenue Lansing, MI 48933 Phone: (517) 483-4600 Fax: (517) 377-0162



MEMORADUM

To: Lansing City Attorney and Ingham County Prosecutor's Office

From: Chief Mike Yankowski

Re: Brady Violations
Date: March 22, 2014

The Lansing Police Department has two current officers who have sustained complaints for truthfulness.

The first is Daryl Green from a complaint in June of 2000.

Summary: On June 22, 2000 a subject was arrested by Green for trespassing. Green used force in affecting the arrest, but didn't complete a use of force report. A report was filed by the subject for excessive force. During the interview process with Internal Affairs, Green was not truthful regarding the fact force was used, but quickly recanted his account of the incident to Internal Affairs.

303 West Kalamazoo Street, Lansing, Michigan 48933 Phone: (517) 483-6108 Fax: (517) 483-6397



LISA McCORMICK Chief Assistant Prosecutor

CATHERINE A. EMERSON
Deputy Chief Assistant Prosecutor

MEMO

TO:

Scanning Unit, Warrant Unit and Diversion

FROM:

Lisa McCormick, Chief Assistant Prosecutor

RE:

Trooper Todd Parsons

DATE:

November 5, 2010

CC:

All APAs (Memo Only)

If a case comes in where Trooper Parson's has been identified you must scan the attached Memo, Register of Action, and transcript into OnBase and index it as a Supplemental Report. There are no exceptions. Then the report will be turned over to the defense as part of the regular discovery packet.

303 West Kalamazoo Street, Lansing, Michigan 48933 Phone: (517) 483-6108 Fax: (517) 483-6397



LISA McCORMICK Chief Assistant Prosecutor

CATHERINE A. EMERSON
Deputy Chief Assistant Prosecutor

MEMO

TO:

Defense Attorney

FROM:

Lisa McCormick, Chief Assistant Prosecuto

RE:

Trooper Todd Parsons

DATE:

October 27, 2010

Please be advised that this notice is to inform you that pursuant to <u>Brady</u> v <u>Maryland</u>, 373 US 83 (1963), Trooper Parsons was charged in the 36th District Court with a Common Law Felony Violation on January 9, 2006. Trooper Parsons pled to Filing a False Police Report, a Misdemeanor on April 25, 2006. I have attached the Register of Actions from the 36th District Court and the transcript of the Plea before the Honorable Joseph Baltimore.

Logout Search Wenu New Case Search Back

Location: Criminal Cases Web Access Instruction Manual

REGISTER OF ACTIONS

0

CASE No. 06055281-01

State of Michigan vs. Todd Emerson Parsons

യ ത്രത്ത ത്രത്തത്ത

Case Type: Noncapital Felonies

Date Filed: 01/06/2006

Location: 36 District Court, Detroit

Case Number History: 05901492-01
Case Tracking Number: 05901492-01

CRISNET/Incident No.: 80-05

PARTY INFORMATION

Defendant Parsons, Todd Emerson

Male White 04/09/1975

Lead Attorneys Flood, Todd F.

Court Appointed

(248) 547-1032(W)

Plaintiff State of Michigan

Pendergast, Donna L. (517) 241-6504(W)

CHARGE INFORMATION

Charges: Parsons, Todd Emerson

Common Law Offenses
 False Report of a Misdemeanor

Statute 750505-C 750411A1A Level

Date 01/06/2006 01/06/2006

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

DISPOSITIONS

01/09/2006 Plea (Judicial Officer: Anderson, Charles W., III)

1. Common Law Offenses

Defendant Stand Mute: Plea of Not Guilty Entered by Court

05/31/2006 Disposition (Judicial Officer: Baltimore, Joseph N)

2. False Report of a Misdemeanor

Pled Guilty

1. Common Law Offenses

Dismissed

07/17/2007 Fine and Costs Sentence (Judicial Officer: Baltimore, Joseph N)

2. False Report of a Misdemeanor

Condition - Adult:

1. Adult Criminal Sentence, F/C PAID IN FULL 7-17-07 07/17/2007, Active 07/17/2007

Fees - Adult: (Grand Total: \$500.00) Due Within 5 Yr 0 Mo 0 Days

Fine: \$500.00

OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS

01/06/2006 Recommendation for Warrant

01/09/2006 Warrant Signed

01/09/2006 Arraignment on Warrant (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Anderson, Charles W., III)

Parties Present

Result: Defendant Stands Mute; Plea Of Not Guilty Entered By Court 01/09/2006 Interim Condition for Parsons, Todd Emerson

Interim Condition for Parsons, Todd Emerson - Personal Bond (Own Recognizance)

\$10,000.00

01/12/2006 To Waive The 12 Day Rule

01/12/2006 File

01/12/2006 Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Humphries, Paula G)

Parties Present

Result: Adjourned:At The Request Of The Court

02/07/2006 Preliminary Examination (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Randon, Mark) Parties Present Result: Adjourned: At The Request Of The Court 02/28/2006 Motion for a Continuance Filed/Signed 02/28/2006 Signed And Filed 02/28/2006 Preliminary Examination (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Randon, Mark) Parties Present Result: Adjourned: At The Request Of The Court 03/14/2006 Motion for a Continuance Filed/Signed Signed And Filed 03/14/2006 Preliminary Examination (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Blount, Nancy A) 03/14/2006 Parties Present Result: Adjourned:At The Request Of The Court 03/28/2006 Motion for a Continuance Filed/Signed 03/28/2006 Signed And Filed 03/28/2006 Preliminary Examination (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Bradfield, David) Parties Present Result: Adjourned: At The Request Of The Defense 04/11/2006 Preliminary Examination (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Langston, Deborah L) Result: Adjourned:At The Request Of The Defense Motion for a Continuance Filed/Signed 04/25/2006 Signed And Filed 04/25/2006 Preliminary Examination (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Baltimore, Joseph N) 04/25/2006 Parties Present Result: Reduced To Misdemeanor Motion to Reduce Charge 04/26/2006 04/26/2006 Flied Pre-Trial (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Baltimore, Joseph N) 05/31/2006 Parties Present Result: Court Accepted Guilty Plea 07/11/2006 Sentencing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Blount, Nancy A) Parties Present Result: Adjourned:At The Request Of The Court 09/07/2006 Motion for a Continuance Filed/Signed Signed And Filed 09/07/2006 Appearances by a Retained Attorney Filed 09/07/2006 Sentencing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Blount, Nancy A) 09/07/2006 Result: Adjourned:At The Request Of The Court Sentencing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Archer, Roberta C) 09/14/2006 Parties Present Result: Adjourned: At The Request Of The Court Motion for a Continuance Filed/Signed 09/15/2006 Signed And Filed 09/15/2006 02/01/2007 To Adjourn 02/01/2007 Signed And Flied Motion Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Randon, Mark) 02/01/2007 Parties Present Result: Held 03/08/2007 United States Supreme Court Affirms 03/08/2007 Filed Motion to Assign Counsel Filed/Signed 04/12/2007 04/12/2007 Sentencing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Randon, Mark) Parties Present Result: Adjourned:At The Request Of The Court 07/17/2007 | Sentencing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Baltimore, Joseph N) Parties Present

Result: Sentenced

d

LIVINGSTON COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE

210 S. Highlander Way Howell, Michigan 48843 (517) 546-1850

Fax: (517) 546-0728

Email: bvailliencourt@co.livingston.mi.us

FAX TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

To:

Joe Finnerty

Ingham County Prosecutor's Office

From:

Bill Vailliencourt

Assistant Prosecuting Attorney

FAX #:

517-483-6397

Re:

Todd Parsons

Date:

October 25, 2010

Number of Pages (including cover sheet): 14

COMMENT:

Feb. 17. 2010 3:13PM ATTORNEY GENERAL

No. 4292 P. 2

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE 36TH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CITY OF DETROIT CRIMINAL DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,

-V-

TODD PARSONS,

36th District Court No. 06-55282
Third Circuit Court No.

Third Circuit Court No. 06-100051

06-10

Defendant.

PLEA AGREEMENT

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOSEPH N. BALTIMORE, (P-10399)

Detroit, Michigan - Tuesday, April 25, 2006

APPEARANCES:

For the People:

Douglas M. Baker (P-24517)

Office of the Attorney General 3030 W. Grand Boulevard, Floor 10

Detroit, Michigan 48202

(313) 618-2234

For the Defendant:

Todd F. Flood (P-58555) 1200 West 11 Mile Road Royal Oak, Michigan 48067

(248) 547~1032

Reported by:

Jaime Schoenfeld, CSR-6334 Certified Shorthand Reporter

(313) 965-6570

TABLE OF CONTENTS Ī Witnesses Page: TODD PARSONS Voir Dire by Mr. Baker Voir Dire by Mr. Flood Voir Dire by Mr. Baker (continued) Received: Exhibits: Marked: (none) 3

PROSECUTOR

003

No. 4292 P. 3

10/25/2010 10:12 FAX 5175460728

Feb. 17. 2010 3:13PM ATTORNEY GENERAL

Detroit, Michigan 1 Tuesday, April 25, 2006 - 12:11 p.m. 2 3 THE COURT: People versus Todd Parsons, Case 4 Number 06-55282, 5 Counselors? б MR. FLOOD: Good morning, Judge, Todd Flood on 7 behalf of Mr. Parsons. Judge, I believe we've reached a settlement in this 9 10 case. MR. BAKER: Douglas Baker on behalf of the 11 Attorney General's Office, your Honor. Good morning -- good 12 afternoon. 13 MR. FLOOD: May we approach, your Honor, for one 14 brief moment? 15 THE COURT: Sure. 16 17 (At 12:11 p.m., side bar) 18 (At 12:14 p.m., on the record) 19 MR. FLOOD: Judge, at this time, Mr. Baker is 20 21 giving my client and myself a Plea & Cooperation Agreement. We're, at this time, willing to accept that agreement. However, 22 Judge, at side bar we had an opportunity to talk to this 23 Honorable Court and place on the record that or I would like to 24 place on the record that at the time of sentencing, when that 25

- Feb. 17. 2010 3:13PM ATTORNEY GENERAL
 - takes place sometime down the future, a Cobb Evaluation, that my 1
 - client be sentenced to a fine without probation. 2
 - 3 THE COURT: All right.
 - 4 MR. BAKER: Your Honor, we have provided the Court
 - with a Plea & Cooperation Agreement that we're asking be made 5
 - part of the court file. And, of course, the agreement speaks for 6
 - itself. We are not taking the position as to what the sentence 7
 - ought to be. We, according to the terms of the agreement, want 8
 - that entirely within the Court's discretion. We are asking that 9
 - 10 this sentencing be continued until he testifies, at least, at the
 - preliminary exam of Gabriel Seibt and then after that proceed П
 - with sentencing. That case has been stayed at the moment. It has 12
 - no date because of a legal issue on the case that's being 13
 - appealed to the circuit court. When that legal issue is 14
 - resolved, it will be back within the 36th District Court for exam 15
 - and we would ask that the sentencing be scheduled after that. 16
 - THE COURT: Very well. In connection with the 17
 - plea agreement that has been properly signed by all parties, I 18
 - will accept his plea and I'll withhold sentencing until such time 19
 - it is appropriate that you bring it back to me. 20
 - MR. FLOOD: Thank you, Judge. 21
 - There's one thing that I would like to place on the 22
 - record at this time. I would like Mr. Baker to voir dire my 23
 - client to a factual basis, Judge. If we can do that. 24
 - THE COURT: Proceed, Mr. Baker. 25

- MR. BAKER: Would you state your name for the 1
- record, please? 2
- THE DEFENDANT: Todd Parsons. 3
- THE COURT: Hold on one moment. I don't believe 4
- 5 he has been sworn.
- MR. FLOOD: No, he wasn't we better swear him 6
- in. 7
- THE COURT: Please raise your right hand, sir Do 8
- you swear or affirm to tell the truth? 9
- 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, air, I do:
- THE COURT: Proceed. 11
- TODD PARSONS 12
- called by the People at 12:15 p.m. and sworn by the Court; 13
- testified: 14
- VOIR DIRE 15
- BY MR. BAKER: 16
- 17 Mr. Parsons, would you state how you were employed during
- the evening hours of Friday, June 24th, 2005? 18
- With the Michigan Department of State Police as a state 19
- trooper. 20
- 21 And were you on patrol that evening?
- Yes, I was, in the City of Detroit, County of Wayne: 22 A
- 23 And who was your partner? Q
- Trooper Gabe Seibt. 24 A
- 25 And in the course of the events of that evening did you and

- 1 Trooper Seibt have occasion to take into custody a man by the name of --2 3 Α Roosevelt Dean. 4 Q -- Roosevelt Dean? Α Yes, sir. 5 б And in the course of transporting Mr. Rocsevelt Dean from 7 the place of arrest did there come a time when Trooper Seibt pulled into an alley? Yes, there did. A 9 And what happened with that, when he did that? 10 Q [] A He pulled into an alley! it was -- I don't know if it was an alley, specifically it was a drive-in between backyards. 12 13 that time, we both exited the vehicle. Trooper Seibt removed Mr. Dean from the vehicle. He was laying prone on 14 15 the ground, Mr. Dean was. Trooper Seibt stepped over him, 16 un-handcuffed him, stood back up. At that time, I looked around, I heard a dog bark or something, it caught my 17 18 attention. I looked around. I looked back. Trooper Seibt
- was spraying Mr. Dean in the face with his pepper spray, departmental issue. 20
- 21 Was Mr. Dean in any sense fighting or resisting Trooper Seibt at the time you looked around? 22
- 23 A At that time, no
- At any time? 24 Q

19

25 Α Pighting, resisting, no. Profane, yes.

- I Q Now, had you, before reaching that point in the alley, had
- 2 you determined that Mr. Dean was wanted on some outstanding
- 3 arrest warrants?
- 4 A Yes. He had some misdemeanor warrants out for this court,
- 5 the 36.
- 6 Q And just before stopping in the alley where was it, your
- 7 belief, that you were going?
- 8 A It was my belief, immediately after arrest, that we were
- 9 going back to the state police post. Then once we began to
- 10 -- I realized we were on North I-75. At the time, I was not
- If a familiar with the city. I then believed we were going to a
- 12 precinct to lodge Mr. Dean.
- 13 Q For whatever you picked him up for?
- 14 A Yes. For disorderly conduct was what I understood the arrest
- 15 to be for.
- 16 Q And that initiated the arrest in Greek Town, here in
- I7 Detroit?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q Then it was after he was taken in the car on route that you
- 20 learned he had other warrants out?
- 21 A Yes, sir. I ran him in LEIN on our mobile data computer in
- 22 the patrol vehicle.
- 23 Q So you believed that you were taking him to a lockup?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q Now, after Trooper Seibt pulled over in the alley and after

- the Macing of Mr. Dean what happened then?
- 2 A Trooper Seibt said, "Let's go." We got back in the patrol
- 3 car and we left the area.
- 4 Q And did you leave Mr. Dean in the alley?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Now, at that point, did you realize that Trooper Seibt had
- 7 acted inappropriately if not criminally?
- 8 A That was my belief that he acted inappropriately.
- 9 Q And criminally?
- 10 A Potentially, yes.
- 11 Q Now, did you file a report with your department accounting
- 12 for your activities during that period of time that you had
- 13 Mr. Seibt in your custody?
- 14 A Mr. Dean in our custody?
- 15 Q Mr. Dean in your custody, yes, sorry.
- 16 A As far as a report, do you mean a narrative or are you
- 17 speaking of our daily observation report
- 18 Q Your daily activity log; yes:
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And what did you place on that log?
- 21 A In reference to Mr. Dean?
- 22 Q Yes.
- 23 A We placed a property inspection for Greek Town, the Greek
- 24 Yown area.
- 25 Q In other words, you did not report the contact initially

- with Mr. Dean on that activity log? 1
- 2 A Correct.
- And did Trooper Seibt advise you on that entry on your log? 3 Q
- He did. As we pulled away from the alley area, I had my 4 Α
- clipboard up, I turned the computer towards me with Mr. 5
- Dean's information on it. I asked Trooper Seibt if he wanted 6
- him on the daily, and he said, "No, just carry the PJ Greek 7
- Town." 8
- "PI" meaning? 9 Q
- 10 A Property inspection.
- So you knew that you were, in effect, incorrectly or falsely 11 Q
- making an entry on the activity log? 12
- A Correct. 13
- Now, subsequently did you learn that Mr. Dean had come 14 Q
- forward and complained about his treatment at the hands of 15
- yourself and Trooper Seibt? 16
- 17 A Yes.
- And was there some further discussion and action taken as to 18
- the activity log? 19
- A Yes. On Saturday, which would have been the 25th, Saturday 20
- or Sunday, one of the two days, Trooper Seibt contacted me 21
- at home, advised that a complaint had been made. He'd been 22
- contacted by Sergeant Simms, the on-duty sergeant. At that 23
- time, I told Trooper Seibt that we needed to have Mr. Dean 24
- "on paper," on the daily. He said that he understood that, 25

| 1 | | that he already recovered the dailies after turning them in |
|----------------|------|--|
| 2 | | and that they were in his locker at the post, which was |
| 3 | | unlocked, and that on Monday I could get the dailies from |
| 4 | | his locker and include Mr. Dean on the daily. |
| 5 | Q | So you changed the activity log on that day, that Monday? |
| 6 | A | Yes. Yes, on Monday. |
| 7 | Q | What new information did you put on the log? |
| 8 | A | That we had contact with Mr. Dean and investigated him. |
| 9 | Q | On that log or in any other report did you state, at that |
| 10 | | time, the activities that you saw Trooper Seibt engaged in? |
| 17 | A | No, I did not. |
| 12 | Ō | And you knew that, at least at that time, that you were, in |
| 13 | | effect, hiding those facts from your superiors? |
| 14 | A | Yes. |
| 15 | | MR. BAKER: I have no other questions |
| 1 6 | | VOIR DIRE |
| 17 | BY P | R. FLOOD; |
| 18 | Q | You gave a statement to the Attorney General's Office, |
| 19 | | correct? |
| 20 | A | Yes. |
| 21 | Q | During the time that you'd spoken with Trooper Seibt did you |
| 22 | | ever indicate to Trooper Seibt or did Trooper strike |
| 23 | | that. |
| 24 | | Did Trooper Seibt ever indicate to you that don't |
| 25 | | worry, he'll take care of this? |

| I A Several | times. |
|-------------|--------|
|-------------|--------|

- 2 VOIR DIRE
- 3 BY MR. BAKER, CONTINUING:
- 4 Q You gave a statement to the Attorney General's Office,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q And I'm looking at a memorandum of that statement given to
- 8 Investigator Dennis Kathlanski (spelled phon.) of our office
- 9 dated March 31st, 2006?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q And you went through the events of that evening with the
- investigator from our office?
- 13 A I did.
- 14 Q And do you state here under oath that that statement is
- 15 truthful and accurate?
- 16 A Yes.
- MR. BAKER: Nothing else.
- THE COURT: All right.
- 19 MR. FLOOD: Thank you, Judge.
- 20 THE COURT: Very well. I'll accept it.
- 21 MR. FLOOD: Thank you, Judge.
- 22 MR. BAKER: Thank you.
- MR. FLOOD: Judge, we'll be back in front of you
- 24 after the testimony.
- THE COURT: Very well.

| 1 | MR. FLOOD: can we adjourn it until after the |
|----|--|
| 2 | stay has been lifted; I guess, the preliminary exam is set for |
| 3 | the other trooper. I don't know what date that will be. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Let's put a date out there. |
| 5 | MR. BAKER: Why don't we put a date on there and |
| 6 | we'll see how far we are. |
| 7 | THE COURT: What date? |
| 8 | MR. FLOOD: What about 45, 60 days |
| 9 | THE COURT: I 11 put it 30 days, 5/31 |
| 10 | (At 12:25 p.m. proceedings are concluded) |
| 11 | 9 7 0 7 € |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | 4: |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

10/25/2010 10:13 FAX 5175460728 PROSECUTOR Feb. 17. 2010 3:14PM ATTORNEY GENERAL

2014 No. 4292 P. 14

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
) SS
COUNTY OF WAYNE)

CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

I, Jaime Schoenfeld, Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages 1 through 13, inclusive, comprise a full, true and correct transcript of the proceedings and testimony taken in the matter of People versus Todd Parsons, 36th District Court Case No. 06-55282, Third Circuit Court Case No. 06-100051, before the Honorable Joseph N. Baltimore, on Tuesday, April 25, 2006.

Jaume Schoenfeld, CSR 6334 421 Madison Avenue Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313) 965-6570

Dated: 9/19/07